

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/584,779	SCHERER ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
EMMANUEL DUKE	3784	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) EMMANUEL DUKE. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) THOMAS J. BURGER, ESQ., (REG. No. 32,662). (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 07/19/2011

**Time:** 2:55PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*CLAIMS 1 AND 4*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Request for Examiner's Amendment Authorization to amend claim 1 with limitations of claim 4; and subsequently cancelled claim 4. Authorization granted by David Fitzgerald, Esq., (Attorney of Record - Reg. No. 67,324) on 7/22/2011.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/EMMANUEL DUKE/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3784

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)